

## **REMARKS**

Receipt of the Office Action of April 2, 2009 is gratefully acknowledged.

It is respectfully submitted that the Office Action of April 2, 2009 should not have been made final since new claims were submitted with the RESPONSE filed on March 16, 2009 with the previous RCE Application. In this RESPONSE, a new claim (claim 18) is submitted which adds to the structural elements previously recited. Accordingly, this RCE should be examined, and if rejected, the rejections should not be made final.

The rejections of claims 8 - 17 under 35 USC 103(a) over Jackson et al is respectfully traversed.

Jackson relates to a remote maintenance arrangement. It really does not relate to an arrangement whereby selected parameter sets are provided in memory. The examiner is assigning entirely too much significance to a system which is rather far afield from the invention of the present invention.

The specification has been amended to correct a typographical error on page 7 and to properly identify the communications interface on page 8. In conjunction with the amendment to page 8, a REPLACEMENT SHEET for Fig. 6 is being submitted herewith.

In view of the foregoing, examination of this RCE application is respectfully requested and claims 8 - 18 found allowable.

Respectfully submitted,  
BACON & THOMAS, PLLC

Date: February 2, 2010



Felix J. D'Ambrosio  
*Attorney for Applicant*

Registration Number 25,721

**Customer Number \*23364\***  
**BACON & THOMAS, PLLC**  
625 Slaters Lane, Fourth Floor  
Alexandria, Virginia 22314  
Telephone: (703) 683-0500  
Facsimile: (703) 683-1080

S:\Producer\ljd\CLIENTS\Endress+Hauser Holding GmbH\SPAN3007-EH0584\Sept 2 2009 Response.wpd